
From: Parkin.Richard@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2011 8:59 AM
To: Jon Kurland
Cc: Doug Limpinsel; Eckman.Sheila@epamail.epa.gov; Smith.Judy@epamail.epa.gov; Jeanne Hanson
Subject: Re: B-Bay Watershed Assessment

Will do Jon. Thank you for Doug's help. He has been very informative and helpful at our meetings as well.

----- Original Message -----

From: Jon Kurland [Jon.Kurland@noaa.gov]
Sent: 09/12/2011 04:09 PM PST
To: Richard Parkin
Cc: Doug Limpinsel <Doug.Limpinsel@noaa.gov>; Sheila Eckman; Judy Smith; Jeanne Hanson <Jeanne.Hanson@noaa.gov>
Subject: Re: B-Bay Watershed Assessment

Rick, thanks for acknowledging Doug's hard work. Once you and the team have reviewed his draft, please do let us know what we can do to build upon this and meet EPA's needs for the Watershed Assessment.

On 9/12/2011 1:18 PM, Parkin.Richard@epamail.epa.gov wrote:

> Hi Doug, Thank you for your report. I have been out for two weeks
> and ignored email so I have just seen it. I trust you have heard back
> from others on the team. I greatly appreciate your effort and your
> agency's forbearance in freeing you to work on this. Just from the
> bibliography it looks like you really hit the literature hard. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

>

>

> Rick Parkin
> U.S. EPA, Region 10
> (206) 553-8574

>

>

>

> From: Doug Limpinsel<Doug.Limpinsel@noaa.gov>
> To: Phil North/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Jeff Frithsen/DC/USEPA/US@EPA,
> Glenn Suter/CI/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Parkin/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
> Date: 09/07/2011 02:29 PM
> Subject: B-Bay Watershed Assessment

>

>

>

> Gentlemen,

>

> Attached is the Marine Component of the Bristol Bay Biological
> Assessment, as we currently have it. Outside of the recent conceptual
> outline, I have not received much thought or direction on how we
> should present this to the EPA or incorporate this into the Doc. Phil
> had mentioned a couple tentative thoughts previously, but at this
> point I'm uncertain where we are in the process.

>

(b) (5)



>

> So, at this point I'm searching for input as to what direction you
> would

>

> like me to take this. I'm assuming time remains short, so I would
> like to focus my effort on what the EPA needs from this. What works?
> What does not work? I have also had some questions regarding the
> relevance of some information, to be included or not.

>

> This is not done, but ready for fresh eyes and some additional focus
> or resolution. Please Advise. My thought, give it a read and lets
> set up a time to discuss further...

>

> Thoughts?

>

> Please forward to anyone I have missed...

>

> [attachment "Marine Section - 1st compilation - With Bibliography
> 9-7-11v.2.doc" deleted by Richard Parkin/R10/USEPA/US]

>